ON XENOTARSOSAURUS BONAPARTEI MARTÍNEZ, GIMÉNEZ, RODRÍGUEZ, and BOCHATEY, 1986; A PROBLEMATIC NEOCERATOSAURIA (NOVAS, 1989) FROM THE CRETACEOUS OF CHUBUT

Rodolfo A. $CORIA^1$ and $Jorge\ RODRIGUEZ^2$

Translated by Matthew C. Lamanna April 2001

¹Museo Carmen Funes, 8318 Plaza Huincul, Neuquén.

In 1986, Martinez et al., recognized the species *Xenotarsosaurus bonapartei*, based on appendicular and vertebral remains of a medium sized theropod, coming from the Bajo Barreal Formation (Senonian pre-Maastrichtian). These authors assigned it to the Family Abelisauridae due to similarities that it presented with *Carnotaurus*. Later, Novas (1989), although maintaining the family assignment, and diagnosing the species based on autapomorphies, recognized that the relationships of *Xenotarsosaurus* with the remaining Abelisauridae (*Abelisaurus* and *Carnotaurus*) are problematic. It proposed then, as a possible synapomorphy of *Carnotaurus* and *Xenotarsosaurus*, the presence of a relatively deep prespinal basin (1), although maintaining the possibility that this character is in fact a synapomorphy of *Noasaurus* + Abelisauridae.

The discovery of a theropod in Neuquén, identified as PVPH-35, communicated in previous contributions (Coria *et al.*, 1991) and that represents the sister group of *Noasaurus* + Abelisauridae (redefined in consequence to the Neoceratosauria (= *Ceratosaurus* + [*Noasaurus* + Abelisauridae]; *sensu* Novas 1989, 1992): a) confirms in part the hypothesis of Novas, since the character (1) is not exclusive to Abelisauridae but a synapomorphy of the group (PVPH-35 + [*Noasaurus* + Abelisauridae]); and b) it weakens the assignment of *Xenotarsosaurus* to Abelisauridae.

It is proposed to preliminarily consider *Xenotarsosaurus bonapartei* as Neoceratosauria *incertae sedis*, until new materials are known in order to establish with more precision its affinities within the clade.

The family Abelisauridae is in this way composed by *Abelisaurus comahuensis* and *Carnotaurus sastrei*, a group whose monophyly is strongly supported by characters, at the moment, exclusively cranial not present in PVPH-35 and unknown in *Xenotarsosaurus*.

Original citation: Coria, R. A. and J. Rodríguez. 1993. Sobre *Xenotarsosaurus bonapartei* Martínez, Giménez, Rodríguez, y Bochatey, 1986; un problematico Neoceratosauria (Novas, 1989) del Cretacico de Chubut. Ameghiniana 30 (3):326-327.

²Depto. de Geología, Univ. Nacional de la Patagonia "San Juan Bosco", Km 4 (9005) Comodoro Rivadavia, Chubut.